

**OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
SPECIAL INSTRUCTION SHEET**


QA: Y

Page: 1 of: 1

This is a placeholder page for records that cannot be scanned or microfilmed

1. Record Date 01/01/97	10. Accession Number MOL.19980306.0253
2. Author Name(s) DAGNESE FA, FAUNT CC, TURNER AK, HILL MC	3. Author Organization USGS
4. Title HYDROGEOLOGIC EVALUATION AND NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF THE DEATH VALLEY REGIONAL GROUND-WATER FLOW SYSTEM, NEVADA AND CALIFORNIA	
5. Document Number(s) 96-4300	6. Version N/A
7. Document Type REPORT	8. Medium OPTIC, PAPER
9. Access Control Code PUB	
11. Traceability Designator VADD, VOL-01	
12. Comments THIS IS A VIABILITY ASSESSMENT RECORD -- DO NOT DELETE. THIS IS A ONE OF A KIND REPORT WITH COLOR CHARTS WHICH CAN BE LOCATED THROUGH THE RPC	

Hydrogeologic Evaluation and Numerical Simulation of the Death Valley Regional Ground-Water Flow System, Nevada and California



Water-Resources Investigations Report 96-4300

Prepared in cooperation with the
NEVADA OPERATIONS OFFICE,
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, under
Interagency Agreement DE-AI08-92NV10874



Hydrogeologic Evaluation and Numerical Simulation of the Death Valley Regional Ground-Water Flow System, Nevada and California

By Frank A. D'Agnese, Claudia C. Faunt, A. Keith Turner, and Mary C. Hill

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Water-Resources Investigations Report 96-4300

Prepared in cooperation with the
NEVADA OPERATIONS OFFICE,
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, under
Interagency Agreement DE-AI08-92NV10874

Denver, Colorado
1997



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Gordon P. Eaton, Director

The use of firm, trade, and brand names in this report is for identification purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

For additional information write to:

Chief, Earth Science Investigations Program
Yucca Mountain Project Branch
U.S. Geological Survey
Box 25046, Mail Stop 421
Denver Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225-0046

Copies of this report can be purchased from:

U.S. Geological Survey
Branch of Information Services
Box 25286
Denver, CO 80225-0286

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Purpose and Scope	4
Quality-Assurance Considerations	4
Study Area	4
Previous Work in the Death Valley Region	4
Geologic and Structural Investigations	5
Hydrogeologic Investigations	5
Previous Ground-Water Models of the Death Valley Region	6
Site Description	7
Physiography	7
Climate	9
Precipitation	9
Air Temperature and Humidity	11
Soils and Vegetation	11
Soils	11
Vegetation	11
Geology	13
Hydrogeologic Units	17
Quaternary Playa Deposits	17
Quaternary-Tertiary Valley Fill	17
Quaternary-Tertiary Volcanic Rocks	19
Tertiary Volcanic Rocks	19
Tertiary Volcanic and Volcaniclastic Rocks	19
Tertiary-Late Jurassic Granitic Rocks	19
Mesozoic Sedimentary and Metavolcanic Rocks	19
Paleozoic Carbonate Rocks	20
Paleozoic-Precambrian Clastic Rocks	20
Precambrian Igneous and Metamorphic Rocks	20
Hydrology	20
Land and Water Use	22
Use of Geoscientific Information Systems	22
Geoscientific Information Systems (GSIS)	22
Relationship Between GSIS and GIS	22
Classes of GSIS	23
Role of GSIS	23
GSIS Software Products Used in the Project	23
Design of GSIS Centralized Data Base	27
Definition of Data Categories	27
Data Categories Defining the Hydrogeologic Framework	29
Data Categories Defining Surface and Subsurface Hydrologic Conditions	29
Construction of GSIS Centralized Data Base	30
Existing Digital GIS Files	30
Publicly Available Spatial Data	31
Published Manuscript Map Information	31
Tabulated Data in Reports and Files	31
Characterization of the Regional Hydrogeologic Framework	33
Construction of 3D Digital Hydrogeologic Framework Model	33
Integration of DEM and Geologic Map Data	33
Use of Cross Sections and Well-Log Data	33
Interpolation of Structural Surfaces for Hydrogeologic Units	35

Development of 3D SGM Framework Model	35
Application of 3D Framework Model	40
Attribution of Model Cells	40
Evaluating the 3D Model	40
Examination of the 3D Digital Hydrogeologic Framework Model	40
Defining the 3D Distribution of Hydraulic Properties	40
Characterization of Regional Hydrologic Conditions	43
Characterizing the Discharge Component	43
Methods of Characterizing Discharge	43
Characterization and Estimation of Evapotranspiration Volumes	43
Evapotranspiration Areas	43
Evapotranspiration Rates and Flux Estimation	44
Spring Discharge Accounting	44
Ground-Water Pumpage	48
Characterizing the Recharge Component	50
Previous Methods of Characterizing Recharge	50
Limitations of the Empirical Maxey-Eakin Method	52
Modifying the Maxey-Eakin Method	52
Refined Recharge Estimation	55
Accuracy and Suitability of Refined Method	55
Evaluation of Current Recharge Estimate	55
Characterizing the Regional Potentiometric Surface	56
Previous Potentiometric-Surface Maps	56
Construction of an Estimated Regional Potentiometric-Surface Map	57
Water-Level Data	57
Limitations of Water-Level Data	57
Incorporation of Comparative Data	57
Conceptualization of Regional Ground-Water Flow	59
Flow System Boundaries	59
Regional Boundaries	62
Subregional Boundaries	62
Source, Occurrence, and Movement of Ground Water	62
Northern Death Valley Subregion	65
Central Death Valley Subregion	65
Pahute Mesa-Oasis Valley Ground-Water Basin	65
Ash Meadows Ground-Water Basin	68
Alkali Flat-Furnace Creek Ground-Water Basin	68
Southern Death Valley Subregion	65
Regional Flow System Water Budget	65
Numerical Simulation of Regional Ground-Water Flow	71
Numerical Modeling Difficulties and Simplifying Assumptions	72
Numerical Model Selection	72
Nonlinear Regression Objective Function	72
Parameter Definition	72
Parameter Sensitivities	72
Model Construction	72
Grid Definition	72
Boundary Conditions	72
Model Parameter Definition	72
Flow Parameters	72
Evapotranspiration	72
Recharge	72
Springs	72
Pumping Wells	8

Summary of Defined Parameters	84
Observation Data	85
Hydraulic-Head Observations	87
Spring-Flow Observations	87
Model Calibration	87
Conceptual Model Variations	87
Location and Type of Boundary Conditions	88
Definition of Extent of Recharge Areas	88
Variations in Interpretation of Hydrogeologic Framework	88
Data Review and Reweighting	93
Model Fit	94
Model Evaluation	95
Hydraulic Heads and Spring Flows	95
Spatial Distribution of Residuals and Weighted Residuals	104
Distribution of Residuals and Weighted Residuals Relative to Weighted Simulated Values	104
Normality of Weighted Residuals and Model Linearity	106
Estimated Parameter Values	106
Water Budgets	109
Summary of Model Evaluation	112
Summary	113
References Cited	117

FIGURES

1–9. Maps showing:	
1. Geographic features of the Death Valley region.....	3
2. Prominent topographic features of the Death Valley region	8
3. Desert climatic zones of the Death Valley region	9
4. Weather regimes of the Death Valley region	10
5. Vegetation zones of the Death Valley region.....	12
6. Tectonic features of the Death Valley region and vicinity.....	14
7. Structural-physiographic subsections.....	16
8. Hydrogeologic units of the Death Valley region	18
9. Hydrographic areas of the Death Valley region	21
10–13. Diagrams showing:	
10. 3D spatial representations.....	24
11. Information flow and the role of GSIS	25
12. Integration of commercial GIS, GSIS, and gridding and contouring software used by the project.....	26
13. Data categories selected and incorporated into standardized GSIS data base.....	28
14. Graph showing map sheets used to compile surface geologic data	30
15. Map showing locations of subsurface data used to construct the hydrogeologic framework model	32
16. Diagram showing 3D perspective diagram of partially attributed hydrogeologic cross sections	34
17. Map showing traces of mapped regional faults.....	36
18. Map showing traces of features used to create 3D hydrogeologic framework model	37
19. Diagram showing perspective view of 2.5D surface of carbonate aquifer (P2)	38
20. Diagram showing time-stratigraphic and model order of geologic events	39
21. Fence diagram showing regional hydrogeologic units.....	41
22. Graph showing hydraulic conductivity distributions estimated for major rock types in the Death Valley region	42
23–32. Maps showing:	
23. Final evapotranspiration areas in the Death Valley region.....	45
24. Locations of regional springs	48
25. Refined potential recharge areas for the Death Valley region	54
26. Locations of water-level-data wells in the Death Valley region.....	58

27. Estimated potentiometric surface of the Death Valley region	60
28. Locations of regional inflows across flow system boundaries.....	61
29. The three subregions of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system that encompass the area modeled in this study	63
30. Subregions, ground-water basins, and associated flow paths of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system.....	64
31. The Northern Death Valley subregion	66
32. The Central Death Valley subregion.....	67
33. The Southern Death Valley subregion	70
34. Diagram showing generic MODFLOWP model grid.....	73
35. Diagram showing example of VCONT array organization	74
36. Map showing model boundary conditions-constant heads, springs, wells	76
37. Graph showing hydraulic conductivity distributions for K1, K2, K3, and K4.....	78
38-42. Maps showing:	
38. Layer 1 initial conductivity zones.....	79
39. Layer 2 initial conductivity zones.....	80
40. Layer 3 initial conductivity zones.....	81
41. Location of evapotranspiration specified cells.....	82
42. Location of four recharge zones	83
43. Graph showing composite scaled sensitivities of initial model.....	85
44. Map showing layer 1 final hydraulic conductivity zones	88
45. Graph showing composite scaled sensitivities of initial model showing relative high sensitivity of parameters K3 and K4	89
46-55. Maps showing:	
46. Layer 2 final hydraulic conductivity zones.....	91
47. Layer 3 final hydraulic conductivity zones.....	92
48. Hydraulic head residuals (observed minus simulated) for model layer 1.....	96
49. Hydraulic head weighted residuals (observed minus simulated) for model layer 1	97
50. Hydraulic head residuals (observed minus simulated) for model layer 2.....	98
51. Hydraulic head weighted residuals (observed minus simulated) for model layer 2	99
52. Hydraulic head residuals (observed minus simulated) for model layer 3.....	100
53. Hydraulic head weighted residuals (observed minus simulated) for model layer 3	101
54. Spring flow residuals.....	102
55. Spring flow weighted residuals.....	103
56-60. Graphs showing:	
56. Weighted residuals against weighted simulated values	105
57. Normal probability plot of weighted residuals	107
58. Normal probability plot of correlated normal random deviates.....	108
59. Estimated hydraulic conductivity parameters, their 95-percent linear confidence intervals, and the range of reasonable values	110
60. Composite scaled sensitivities for estimated parameters in the final calibrated model.....	111

TABLES

1. Estimated hydraulic conductivity of hydrogeologic units	17
2. Estimated evapotranspiration and spring discharge rates by discharge area	46
3. Reported regional spring data for Death Valley region	47
4. Water-use estimates for selected hydrographic areas	49
5. Area-altitude classes	51
6. Potential recharge classification for altitude zones	53
7. Potential recharge classification for vegetation zones	53
8. Potential recharge classification for slope-aspect zones	53
9. Potential recharge classification for parent material types	53
10. Final recharge potential zones and relative recharge percentages	55

11. Comparisons of recharge estimates for this study with Maxey-Eakin estimates	56
12. Divisions of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system	62
13. Adjusted regional water budget for the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system	71
14. Final conductance parameters (GHB) for large volume spring discharge.....	84
15. Initial defined parameters and initial values for the DVRFS model	84
16. Estimated values, coefficients of variation, and the 95-percent linear confidence intervals for the parameters of the final calibrated model, and the range of reasonable values, with the range of reasonable values	109
17. Water budget for the final simulation compared with estimates from this study	112
18. Total flow (including spring flow and evapotranspiration) at major discharge areas simulated with the final model and estimated for this study	112

CONVERSION FACTORS AND VERTICAL DATUM

Multiply	By	To obtain
millimeter (mm)	0.03937	inch
meter (m)	3.281	foot
kilometer (km)	0.6214	mile
square kilometer (km^2)	0.3861	square mile
cubic meter (m^3)	35.31	cubic foot
cubic meter (m^3)	264.2	gallon
cubic meter (m^3)	0.0008107	acre-foot
cubic meter per day (m^3/d)	0.1835	gallon per minute
cubic meter per day (m^3/d)	0.2961	acre-foot per year
meter per day (m/d)	3.281	feet per day
square meter per day (m^2/d)	10.7649	square foot per day

Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit ($^{\circ}\text{F}$) may be converted to degrees Celsius ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) as follows:

$$^{\circ}\text{C} = (^{\circ}\text{F} - 32) / 1.8$$

Sea level: In this report, "sea level" refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929)—a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.